

July 2, 1990  
LN/ji:90-568M

Introduced by: Bruce Laing  
Lois North  
Proposed No.: 90-568

MOTION NO. 7962

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

A MOTION providing direction to the Puget Sound Council of Governments on the preparation of a preferred alternative to be included in the Vision 2020 Final Environmental Impact Statement.

WHEREAS, the Puget Sound Council of Governments is the designated transportation planning organization for the Puget Sound area, and;

WHEREAS, King County is a member jurisdiction of the Puget Sound Council of Governments, and;

WHEREAS, traffic congestion in King County presents a significant problem for the public, and;

WHEREAS, the King County Comprehensive Plan designates both Urban and Rural Areas and encourages most population and employment growth to locate in Urban Areas, especially cities, and;

WHEREAS, the King County Comprehensive Plan identifies the need for the overall density of Urban Areas to be high enough to support cost-effective urban services, including transit and rail, and;

WHEREAS, planning for the future transportation needs of the region must be based upon an understanding of probable future land use and development patterns, and;

WHEREAS, major investments in regional transportation infrastructure necessitate consistency and a cooperative approach to land use planning by all of the region's city and county governments, and;

WHEREAS, a shared vision of the region's future, clearly described, jointly arrived at, and cooperatively adhered to by the region's local governments will allow the Puget Sound Council of Governments to better meet its regional transportation planning responsibilities, and;

1           WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the citizens of King  
2 County to mitigate the transportation problems created by  
3 recent rapid growth and to avoid future problems arising out of  
4 the inefficient use of land, and;

5           WHEREAS, the Puget Sound Council of Governments has  
6 proposed the draft Vision 2020 plan to define and reach  
7 consensus upon a shared vision of the region's future  
8 development and to establish a Regional Transportation Plan as  
9 prescribed by federal and state law and regulations;

10          NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

11          A. The King County Council endorses the development of an  
12 alternative which combines the best features of both the Major  
13 Centers and the Multiple Centers alternatives contained in the  
14 Vision 2020 Plan. This combined alternative is to be the  
15 preferred alternative contained in the Final Environmental  
16 Impact Statement (FEIS) to be considered by the PSCOG Assembly  
17 in October and shall, at the minimum, include the following:

18           1. An increased emphasis on transit and rail  
19 alternatives consistent with the Major Centers alternative  
20 proposal;

21           2. A strong emphasis on transportation demand management  
22 (TDM) consistent with the emphasis provided for in the Major  
23 Centers alternative, and consideration of pedestrian access in  
24 each of the proposed centers;

25           3. A major emphasis on the development of High Occupancy  
26 Vehicle (HOV) lanes consistent with at least the number of  
27 miles proposed in the Major Centers alternative;

28           4. A balance of housing, concentration of employment,  
29 shopping, and public services, in each of the centers  
30 consistent with the Multiple Centers alternative;

31           5. Methods for involving existing neighborhoods while  
32 providing for increased densities in areas adjacent to transit  
33 and rail improvements;

34           6. An identification of the proposed centers, their  
35 number, their populations, the amount of adjacent land each

1 will consume, and the housing and job mixes to be contained in  
2 each;

3 7. A plan for arterial capacity sufficient to support  
4 projected traffic levels for a combined alternative;

5 8. A more comprehensive examination of all of the public  
6 and private costs which would be generated by this new  
7 alternative.

8 9. An evaluation of alternative growth assumptions and  
9 means for managing growth.

10 B. The King County Council will evaluate the Vision 2020  
11 Final EIS and the preferred alternative to assure that the best  
12 features of both the major centers and the Multiple Centers  
13 alternatives are retained.

14 C. King County reserves the right to determine, in  
15 conjunction with its cities, the centers which are to be  
16 designated for the concentration of growth, their number, size  
17 and location, those areas which are to be maintained as rural,  
18 the type and location of transportation, rail and transit  
19 improvements and any and all other modifications to the King  
20 County Comprehensive and Transportation Plans and supporting  
21 legislation which are solely its purview.

22 PASSED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of July, 1990

23 KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
24 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

25 Louis North  
26 Chair

27 ATTEST:

28 Gerald A. Peters  
29 Clerk of the Council